03-24-05

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-003

		mark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COM	
der the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required t	to respond to a collection of inform	nation unless it displays a valid OMB contro	l numbe
	Application Number		

09/756,551 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date January 8, 2001 First Named Inventor **FORM** Casey D. MORROW Art Unit 1632 (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Examiner Name** J. Woitach Attorney Docket Number 11 532572000104 Total Number of Pages in This Submission

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
	mittal Form (1 page + or fee processing)	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee /	Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
x Amendmer	nt/Reply (7 pages)	Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After	Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information		
Affida	avits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence		Status Letter		
X Extension of Time Request (1 page)		Terminal Disclaimer		X Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		Return Receipt Postcard		
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)				
Certified Control Document(opy of Priority (s)	Landscape Table on CD				
	issing Parts/ Application	Remarks				
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Name MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP						
Signature Cate W. Museur						
Printed name	Kate H. Murashige					
Date	March 22, 2005			29,959		

in an envelope addressed to: MS Amend	s being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EL984097907US, ment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date
shown below.	O_{α} : IO_{α}
Dated: March 22, 2005	Signature: Missey (Marian L. Christopher)

PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)

Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004.			Complete if Known					
Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).			Application Nun	nber	09/756,551			
FEE TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date		January 8, 2001			
For FY 2005			First Named Inv	entor/	Casey D. MORROW			
<u> </u>	FULL TO	<i>,</i> 05		Examiner Name		J. Woitach		
Applicant	claims small entity state	us. See 37 CFR 1.27	`	Art Unit 1632				
TOTAL AMOUN	T OF PAYMENT	(\$) 1,020.0	0	Attorney Docket	No.	53257200010	4	
METHOD OF	PAYMENT (check	all that apply)		-			•	
Check	Credit Card	Money Order	Nor	ne Other (please ider	ntify):		
x Deposit Acc	Count Deposit Account I	Number: <u>03-1952</u> D	eposit Acc	ount Name:	Mc	orrison & Foers	ter LLP	
For the a	above-identified depo	sit account, the Di	rector is	hereby authorize	ed to: (che	eck all that apply))	
x Ch	arge fee(s) indicated	below		Charg	e fee(s) in	dicated below, e	xcept for the	filing fee
X Ch	arge any additional f	ee(s) or underpayr	ment of	x Credit	any over	payments		
FEE CALCUL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	S, SEARCH, AND E	XAMINATION FEE	S			· · · · · · · ·		
		LING FEES		ARCH FEES	EXAMI	NATION FEES	;	
Application Ty	pe Fee (\$	Small Entity	E00 /\$	Small Entity	Fee (\$)	Small Entity	Fees Pa	id (\$)
Utility	300) <u>Fee (\$)</u> 150	Fee (\$	<u>Fee (\$)</u> 250	200	<u>Fee (\$)</u> 100	0.0	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	0.0	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	0.0	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	0.0	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	0.0	
2. EXCESS CLA		100	U	v	U	v		mall Entity
Fee Description	IIWI FEES						Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
	20 (including Reiss	ues)					50	25
	nt claim over 3 (incl	,					200	100
Multiple depend	•	,					360	180
Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee F	Paid (\$)	N	lultiple Depend	ent Claims	
	-= ;	, <u> </u>		.00			Fee Paid (\$)	
							0.00	
Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee F	Paid (\$)				
	- = '	· =	0	.00				
3. APPLICATIO								
If the specificat	tion and drawings ex	ceed 100 sheets.o	f paper	(excluding electr	onically f	iled sequence or	computer	
	er 37 CFR 1.52(e)), action thereof. See 3				for small	entity) for each a	additional 50	
					-4' 4L	-6 Fno (\$)	Ean Da	id (¢)
Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) - 100 = /50 (round up to a whole number) x = 0.00								
4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) 0.00								
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1253 Extension for response within third month 1,020.00								
SUBMITTED BY	V	1.		Registration No.				
Signature	taleth	Mena	<u></u>	(Attorney/Agent)	29,959	Telephone	(858) 720-	5112
Name (Print/Type)	Kate H. Murashig	е	U			Date	March 22,	2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EL984097907US, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: March 22, 2005

(Marian L. Christopher)

Docket No.: 532572000104

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Casey D. MORROW et al.

Application No.: 09/756,551

Filed: January 8, 2001

For: ENCAPSIDATED RECOMBINANT

POLIOVIRUS NUCLEIC ACID AND

METHODS OF MAKING AND USING SAME

Confirmation No.: 6750

Art Unit: 1632

Examiner: Joseph T. Woitach

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to an Office Action herein, mailed 22 September 2004, time for response to which was set to expire 22 December 2004. A petition for an extension of time of three (3) months until 22 March 2005 is attached hereto, along with the required fee. The proposed claims, at least claims 49-62, were rejected. It is not clear whether it was intended that claim 63 be included within the rejection for obviousness. Careful consideration has been given to the grounds for rejection, and the following amendment and discussion are offered in response. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

sd-250932 1